

Sting jet storms in a future warmer climate



Suzanne Gray,

Oscar Martínez-Alvarado, Neil Hart and Peter Clark with thanks to Kevin Hodges and Malcolm Roberts

Copyright University of Reading

LIMITLESS POTENTIAL | LIMITLESS OPPORTUNITIES | LIMITLESS IMPACT



Sting jets

- Transient (few hours), mesoscale (~50km spread) jets of air descending from the tip of the hooked cloud head in the frontal fracture regions of some extratropical storms.
- Can cause damaging winds (and especially gusts).
- Coined 'the sting at the end of the tail by Browning (2004)' in his study of the Great October storm of 1987.
- Since then large body of work performed on modelling, mechanisms and climatologies.
- First research aircraft flight into a sting jet storm led by Reading scientists within DIAMET project: Windstorm Friedhelm in 2011 (Baker et al. 2013, Martínez-Alvarado et al. 2014, Vaughan et al. 2015).
- Term has now entered common usage(?)



Adapted from Laura Baker by Neil Hart.

Conceptual model





Questions

- Sting jet wind risk by Hart, Gray and Clark U. Climate, early online) Sting-jet windstorms over the North Atlantic. Climate, early online) to extreme wind risk by Hart, Gray and Cark U. Climate, early online) sting-jet cyclones to strong wind events in

How will all of the above change in a future warmer climate?

Iniversity of

ion sting-jet

ading

Climatedata



- Extended winter seasons: Sept. to May inclusive.
- North Atlantic region only considered.
- Current climate reanalysis: ERA-Interim data (1979-2012): 6-hourly output, T255 (~80 km grid spacing).
- Current and future climate integrations:
 - global model, ~60 km grid spacing in the midlatitudes, 6 hourly output, 13-year present-day (1996–2009) and 13-year future (~2100, under the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change RCP 8.5 scenario) climates.
 - Same Met Office model integrations as used to drive 12-km and 1.5 km grid-spacing regional climate integrations used in Kendon et al. (2014) to predict heavier summer downpours under climate change.

Precursor identification method Reading

- Extratropical cyclone tracks diagnosed using TRACK algorithm (e.g. Hodges and Hoskins, 2002) using ξ_{850} smoothed to T42 resolution.
- Cyclones reaching their ξ_{max} within a specified North Atlantic domain analysed.
- Sting-jet precursors diagnosed assuming release of atmospheric instability generates or strengthens sting jets (Gray et al., 2011).
- Midtropospheric atmospheric instability to slantwise descent diagnosed using downdraught slantwise CAPE (DSCAPE).
- Cyclones considered to have the potential to produce sting jets have a sufficiently large contiguous region of DSCAPE exceeding 200 J kg⁻¹ in their cloud head.
- Identification of cloud head and 'sufficient' DSCAPE is threshold dependant, but previous work (Martínez-Alvarado et al., 2011) has demonstrated skill in identification of cyclones that generated sting jets in weather forecasts.

Example: ERICA IOP4



1989-01-03 00:00 SJ Precursor Points: 23



7

Classification of cyclones: ERA-

	Non explosive	Explosive (∆p (24h)<-20 hPa)	Totals
No SJ precursor	3020 (55%)	676 (12%)	3696 (68%)
SJ precursor	1252 (23%)	499 (9%)	1751 (32%)
Totals	4272 (78%)	1175 (22%)	5447

22% of tracked cyclones are explosive.
32% of tracked cyclones have a SJ precursor.
29% of non-explosive cyclones have a SJ precursor.
42% of explosive cyclones have a SJ precursor.

Classifi	University of Reading				
ERA-I	Non explosiv	ve Explosive (∆p (24h)<-20 hPa)			Current 'real' vs. simulated
No SJ precursor	3020 (55%)) 676 (12%)			climate
SJ precursor	1252 (23%)) 499 (9%)		_	
		Current climation	ate N	on explosive	Explosive (∆p (24h)<-20 hPa)
		No SJ precur	sor	834 (58%)	130 (9%)
		SJ precursor		341 (24%)	127 (9%)
Fut ure climate integration	Non explosiv	re Explos (24h)<-	ive (∆p 20 hPa)		Simulated
No SJ precursor	639 (49%)	81 (6%)		current vs. future climate	
SJ precursor	394 (30%)	185 (*	14%)		9

Track density maps: ERA-I

65°N

55°N

45°N

35°N

D





Cyclones with sting-jet precursors follow a more southerly storm track compared to those without these precursors.



Track density maps





Cyclone metrics: ERA-I

But, ERA-Interim is too coarse to resolve SJs.

Interpretation: precursor identifies those cyclones with atmospheric instability in the cloud head (more substantial cloud head?) that is released by the model dynamics (not necessarily physically) and/or cyclones where potential temperature & momentum surfaces are close to parallel (indicator of a rapidly developing fronts); these factors intensify the cold conveyor belt.

Explosive cyclones with SJ precursor do not significantly deepen faster in MSLP than those without.







Wind risk: current climate





14

Wind risk: current vs. fut ure climate



Conclusions



- A diagnostic for sting jet precursors has been applied to tracked North Atlantic cyclones in 13-year current and future climate integrations and compared to published results using the ERA-Interim reanalysis (1979-2011).
- All 3 dat aset s:
 - for explosively developing cyclones, the low-level maximum windspeed and ξ is distributed towards much higher values for those cyclones with SJ precursors.
 - storm track is more southerly and more zonal for explosive cyclones with SJ precursors compared to track for those without precursors.
 - cyclones with SJ precursors become more dominant as the windspeed threshold is increased.
- Proportion of storms with SJ precursors increases from 33% in the current climate (32% for ERA-I) to 45% for the future climate; for explosively developing storms the increase is from 9 to 14%.
- # storms with winds exceeding 30 ms⁻¹ increases in the future (compared to current) climate integrations for the British Isles and European regions.
- In real systems (or sting-jet resolving weather forecasts) the sting jet is likely to be an additional cause of strong cold-sector winds, either directly or through enhancement of the cold conveyor belt.