

Climate Change

Overview of the C3S Windstorm Climate Service (WISC)

6th European Windstorm Workshop Reading 21-23 June 2017

Alan Whitelaw - CGI





Introduction

Climate Change

- Overview of WISC in C3S (Copernicus Climate Change) context
 - WISC value add
- WISC approach
- Tracks and footprints
- Event set
- Vulnerability and loss
- Status
- Data use and interfaces
- Case studies





Copernicus Climate Change Service

- Objectives:
 - Be an authoritative source of climate information for Europe
 - Build upon massive European investments in science and technology
 - Enable the market for climate services
- Architecture:



- Proof of Concept SIS
- Provides data to CDS
- Portal / demonstrator
- Case studies





WISC - Value Add

Historical

- Longer comprehensive time series (back to 1940)
 - 1900 to 1939 not considered suitable for release
- Sourced from up to date, reference reanalysis datasets
- Lower track thresholds many more storms available
- Additional downscaling 4km storm footprint resolution
 - Previously 25km resolution publicly available
- Transparency of methods applied
- Improved validation, re-calibration and bias correction of data

Probability and extreme values

- Event set to provide cross checks with commercial cat models **Vulnerability and loss**
- European-wide exposure and vulnerability assessment with losses
- Reference products to support in-house simulation platforms
- Comprehensive end to end data to support users with limited in-house capabilities





WISC Approach

- Change
- WISC provides transparent, authoritative data to improve understanding of windstorm risk from Extra-Tropical cyclones
- Approach and outputs: •





Experience the c

Team:

– Storm Tracks and Footprints WISC



Footprint Downscaling Method

Event identification

- Extract data for +/- 36 hours from maximum wind value on track
- Select nearest 00:00 (12:00) as start time (ST)
- Where no track available, use userspecified start/peak date/time

Boundary conditions for UKMO Unified Model from ERA-INT / 20C between ST-6 and ST+30h

Remove 'spin-up' period (ST-6 to ST+0) Repeat 3 or 4 times Concatenate into 72-hour footprint Output as appropriate (geo-referenced and NetCDF)

Climate

Change

Hodges (1994,1995) tracking algorithm

- Based on 850hPa relative vorticity at T42 resolution
- Vorticity centres used to calculate trajectory of individual extra-tropical cyclones (cyclones north of 30N)

Extra fields referenced back to vorticity fields at full resolution at each timestep

- Minimum MSLP within 6 degrees of vorticity centre
- Maximum wind within 6 degrees of vorticity centre •
- Maximum land-wind within 3 degrees of vorticity centre (XWS ranking metric) •





Event set



Figure x Temporal distribution of events from UPSCALE for each of the 5 ensemble members. Each ensemble member is a different colour. Storm severity, as defined by a storm severity index, is represented by the area of the circles.

- UPSCALE (1985 to 2011), based on HadGEM3 GA3 and GL3 configurations of Met Office Unified Model
 - UK on PRACE weather-resolving Simulations of Climate for globAL Environmental risk
 - PaRtnership for Advanced Computing in Europe
- 5 ensembles
 - Different resolutions
 - Present & RCP 8.5 climate (only present used)
- Spatial resolution: 25km (also 60km & 130km)
- Temporal resolution: 6 hours
- 7600 significant storms
- Met Office illustration of Event Set 7660 UPSCALE storms
 - Each ensemble a different colour
 - Severity shown by area





WISC - Vulnerability and Loss

Hazard – Change

Event Set

Exposure / Vulnerability

- CORINE 45 land classes
- PAGER 106 construction types aggregated to 6 types
- Fragility curves applied for these 6 types
- Fragility curves to vulnerability curves via reconstruction costs
- GDP per NUTS3 region applied

Process for Loss Assessment

- Datasets clipped to NUTS3 regions before loss calculations applied (EU: 276 NUTS 2 & 1,342 NUTS3 regions)
- Loss per hazard (max gust speed) from fragility curves
- Loss ratio multiplied by reconstruction cost per building type
- Losses adjusted by GDP per region
- Validate losses vs actuals









WISC Status in CDS context

Status

- Tracks, footprints, event set complete
 - awaiting final metadata adjustments
- Tier 1 and Tier 3 indicators expected end June
- Portal about to go live
- Case studies expected to start shortly

Stage 0/I - Proof of Concept/Pre-Operational

Stage II - Operational ~20 ECVs, ~5-6 Sectors

Stage III - Operational ~30 ECVs, ~10 Sectors





Expected insurance sector use of WISC

Hazard

- Stochastic event sets from catastrophe models are primary hazard source
- Necessary for extreme value assessments
- Transparency issues
- WISC historic data and event set
 - Prefer direct use of 'raw' data (ie tracks, footprints, event set)
 - Used for comparison / calibration with existing information
 - WISC historic tracks & footprints valuable as updated & high resolution
 - WISC event set physically based => useful comparator
 - Availability in OASIS LMF helpful
 - Ability for bulk download helpful
- WISC indicators
 - Tier 1 useful for high level information
 - Derived so can be self generated from other WISC inputs

Vulnerability and loss

- WISC indicators
 - Tier 3 indicators provide direct comparison to insurer assessments
 - Lower level information used to derive Tier 3 indicators also of direct use
 - Indicators and historic data also of value to smaller users with less in-house capabilities





WISC – User interfaces





- 1. Use of WISC historical footprints, vulnerability and exposure data to calibrate and compare with other models.
- 2. Use of WISC Event Set, Vulnerability and Exposure within OASIS to address insurance company needs for independent loss modelling
- 3. Sensitivity analysis of the WISC Vulnerability assessments
- 4. Insurance company use of Event Set to complement / calibrate their business information
- 5. Use of WISC data to support development of cat model.
 - Comparison of WISC Event Set with Cat Modellers' own event sets
 - Seeding of event set with WISC data. Possible use of vulnerability/exposure data.
- 6. Assessment of the wider potential of ERA-5 based tracks and footprints to provide uncertainty information for the event set
- 7. Informed extension/expansion of the event set, to improve coverage, while keeping a direct physical basis.
- 8. Case study using the historical storm tracks

Climate

Change

9. Climate Adaptation modelling using event set – eg in Climada (ETH)

